

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,910	03/26/2004	Manabu Ohnishi	60188-823	7704
75	90 11/02/2005		EXAM	INER
Jack Q. Lever, Jr.		HO, TU TU V		
McDERMOTT,	WILL & EMERY			
600 Thirteenth Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005-3096			2818	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\sim$	
<i>/</i> )	,
+/	١
V (	
	,
,	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/809,910	OHNISHI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tu-Tu Ho	2818					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a color within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 (	October 2005.	•					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi							
3) Since this application is in condition for allows			٠				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•	•					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 10-36 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-26 and 33-36 is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a	are withdrawn from consi	deration.					
Application Papers	•						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2004 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ o e drawing(s) be held in abey ction is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08</li> </ol>	Paper N	y Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's Amendment filed 10/20/2005 has been reviewed and placed of record in the 1. file.

#### Formal Matters

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters: 2. This application is in condition for allowance except for the presence of claims 15-26 and 33-36 to a non-elected species. Specifically, new claims 15-26 and 33-36 directed to a species that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

New claims 15-26 and 33-36, considered Species II, III, and IV, are directed to the embodiments of Figs. 4, 6, and 7. Claims 10-14 and 27-32, considered Species I, are directed to the embodiments of Figs. 8-9.

- Had Applicant presented claims 10-36 as originally filed, the claims would have been 2.1. restricted as follow:
  - 2.1.1. The claims are directed to the following patently distinct species of the claimed invention:
  - Illustrated in Figures 8-9 and as best as can be understood described in Species I. claims 10-14 and 27-32
  - Illustrated in Figure 4 and as best as can be understood described in Species II. claims 15-19 and 33-36
  - Illustrated in Figure 6 and as best as can be understood described in Species III. claims 20-23
  - Species IV. Illustrated in Figure 7 and as best as can be understood described in claims 24-26

Art Unit: 2818

2.1.2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2.1.3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their different classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 2.1.4. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 2.2. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented species, Species I, claims 10-14 and 27-32, has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 15-26 and 33-36, or Species II,

Art Unit: 2818

III, and IV, are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 10-14 and 27-32 are allowable over the prior art of record. The allowable subject matter was indicated in the office action mailed 07/20/2005.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

### Conclusion<sup>®</sup>

4. Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu-Tu Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-1778. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,910 Page 5

Art Unit: 2818

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DAVID NELMS can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tu-Tu Ho

October 28, 2005